Factors affecting outcomes of bovine caesarean sections, with a focus on maternal survival to 14 days after surgery

Appendix 1:

Abrahamsen EJ. Chemical restraint in ruminants. Vet Clin N Am-Food Anim Pract 2008;24:227-243.

Abrahamsen EJ. Ruminant Field anesthesia. Vet Clin N Am-Food Anim Pract 2008;24:429-441.

Alexander D. Bovine caesarean section 1. On-farm operations. In Practice 2013;35:574-588.

Alexander D. Bovine caesarean section 2. Difficult caesareans (potential pitfalls and how to overcome them). In Practice 2014;36:15-26.

Brown R. Surgery times for caesarean sections in cows. Vet Rec 2016;179:79-80.

Carroll GL, Hartsfield SM. General anesthetic techniques in ruminants. Vet Clin N Am-Food Anim Pract 1996;12:627-661.

Dale H, Hayes E, DE Kruif A. Case study: the use of a salvage (low flank) caesarean section to remove an emphysematous calf. Livestock 2013;18:175-178.

Desrochers A. General Principles of surgery applied to cattle. Vet Clin N Am-Food Anim Pract 2005;21:1-17.

Ducrot C, Cimarosti I, Bugnard F, Luquet F. Calving effects on French beef-cow fertility. Prev Vet Med 94;19:129-136. Edmondson MA. Local and regional anesthesia in cattle. Vet Clin N Am-Food Anim Pract .2008;24:211-226.

Edwards B. Regional anaesthesia techniques in cattle. In Practice 2001;23:142-149.

Green M, Butterworth S, Husband J. Decisions leading to caesarean section in the cow. In Practice 1999;21:240-243.

Greene SA. Protocols for anesthesia of cattle. Vet Clin N Am-Food Anim Pract 2003;19:679-693.

Grubb TL, Riebold TW, Crisman RO, Lamby LD. Comparison of lidocaine, xylazine, and lidocaine, xylazine for caudal epidural analgesia in cattle. Vet Anaesth Analg 2002;29:64-68.

Kolkman I, Aerts S, Vervaecke H *et al.* Assessment of differences in some indicators of pain in double muscled Belgian Blue cows following naturally calving vs caesarean section. Reprod Domest Anim 2010;45:160-167.

Marsico F, Nascimento PRL, De Paula AC *et al*. Epidural injection of ketamine for caudal analgesia in the cow. Vet Anaesth Analg 1999;26:27-31.

Menard L. Tocolytic drugs for use in veterinary obstetrics. Canadian Vet J 1984;25:389-393.

Mijten P, van den Bogaard AEJM, Hazen M, de Kruif A. Bacterial contamination of fetal fluids at the time of cesarean section in the cow. Theriogenology 1997;48:513-521.

Rougoor CW, Dijkhuizen AA, Barkema HW, Schukken YH. The economics of caesarian section in dairy cattle. Prev Vet Med 1994;19:27-37.

Skarda RT. Local and regional anesthesia in ruminants and swine. Vet Clin N Am-Food Anim Pract 2008;12:579-626.

Uystepruyst C, Coghe J, Dorts T *et al*. Sternal recumbency or suspension by the hind legs immediately after delivery improves respiratory and metabolic adaptation to extra uterine life in newborn calves delivered by caesarean section. Vet Res 2002;33:709-724.

Wolfe DF, Baird AN. Female urogenital surgery in cattle. Vet Clin N Am-Food Anim Pract 1993;9:369-388.

Table S1: Table grouping of variables collected for the bovine caesarean section audit(November 2013 to October 2015).

Questionnaire 1 – Day of Operation		
V	eterinarian and Veterinary	Clinic
Practice Name	Veterinarian	Date of Surgery
Practice Size	Experience (y)	Day of the Week
Veterinary School		Month of Surgery
Affiliation		
		Year of Surgery
		Time of Day
	Dam History	
Unique client/ Farm name	Dystocia History - Sire	Attempt to Deliver – Farmer
		(min)
Dam Identification	Dystocia History - Dam	Attempt to Deliver – Vet (min)
Productive Type	Previous C-sections	Excessive Force
Lactation number -	Elective	
Categories		
X-Breed	Temperament	
Surgeon's Initial Examination		

No headway when pulling	Insufficient Dilatation -	Gloves - Examination

Cervix

No room around	Insufficient Dilatation -	Tiredness
shoulders/hips	Vagina	
Felt Tight	Insufficient Dilatation -	High Workload
	Vulva	
The front legs were crossed	Uterine Torsion - Present	
Maternal Undersize	Uterine Torsion - Direction	
Dam Deformities	Uterine Torsion - Location	
Dam Concurrent Disease	Uterine Torsion - Degree	
	Uterine Tear - Location	

Animal Restraint and Surgical Preparation

Inadequate Lighting	Restraint - Head Halter	Field Antiseptic 1
Cleanliness – Dam	Restraint - Nose Pincers	Field Antiseptic 2
Cleanliness - Operation Pen	Restraint - Leg Rope	Surgeon Antiseptic 1
Cleanliness - Water	Restraint - Tail String	Surgeon Antiseptic 2
provided		
Cleanliness - Surgical	Restraint - Purpose built	Clothing – Single Use
Assistant	cattle chute	
Dam Position	Restraint - Neck Band	Clothing – Sterile
Elected to operate	Restraint - Head Bag	Clothing – Laparotomy
recumbent		onward
Planipart (Clenbuterol)	Restraint - Shackles	Clothing – Hysterotomy
		onward
	Restraint - Belly Rope	Gloves – Sterile

Hair Removal -	Gloves – Disinfected
Clippers/Scissors	
Hair Removal - Blade	Gloves – Laparotomy
	onward
Hair Removal - Razor	Gloves – Hysterotomy
	onward
Hair Removal - Location	Drape

Anesthesia

Anaesthesia - Timing	Inverted L or Line Block - Amount	
	(ml)	
Anaesthesia - Product	Inverted L or Line Block – Number	
	of Sites	
Anaesthesia - Epidural ≤ 5	Paravertebral T13 - Amount (ml)	
ml		
Anaesthesia - Epidural > 5	Paravertebral L1 - Amount (ml)	
ml		
	Paravertebral L2 - Amount (ml)	
	Paravertebral L3 - Amount (ml)	

Laparotomy

Surgical Assistance	Laparotomy -	Skin incision to calf removal -
	Location	Duration
Rumen Incision	Laparotomy - Blade	Skin incision to skin suturing -
		Duration

Laparotomy -	Arrival to departure - Duration
Scissors	
Muscle Hemostasis	
Muscle Adhesions	

Handling of the Uterus

Gravid Horn	Uterus Characteristics -	Uterine Adhesions
	Texture	
	Uterus Characteristics -	Uterus Rotation - Degrees
	Tone	
	Uterus Characteristics -	Uterus Rotation - Tear
	Colour	
		Uterus Exteriorize

Hysterotomy

Hysterotomy Incision -	Uterus Contents	Calf Legs - Traction Method
Shape		
Hysterotomy Incision -	Uterus Contents - Meconium	Surgeon cut Umbilicus
Location		
Hysterotomy - Blade		Retained Fetal Membranes
Hysterotomy - Scissors		
Hysterotomy - Guarded		
Blade		
Hysterotomy - Extension		
Skin Enlarge - Scissors		

Skin Enlarge - Blade

Delivery and initial Care of the Newborn

Number of Calves	Calf Presentation	Condition of Calf - Calf 1
Calf Sex - 1	Calves - Simultaneous	Condition of Calf - Calf 2
Calf Sex - 2	Calf Position - 1	
Calf X-Breed - 1	Calf Position - 2	
Calf X-Breed - 2	Calf Posture 1 - Head	
Alive at 1st Exam - Calf 1	Calf Posture 1 - Front left	
	leg	
Alive at 1st Exam - Calf 2	Calf Posture 1 - Front right	
	leg	
Alive at Delivery - Calf 1	Calf Posture 1 - Rear left leg	
Alive at Delivery - Calf 2	Calf Posture 1 - Rear right	
	leg	
	Calf Posture 2 - Head	
	Calf Posture 2 - Front left	
	leg	
	Calf Posture 2 - Front right	
	leg	
	Calf Posture 2 - Rear left leg	
	Calf Posture 2 - Rear right	
	leg	

Suturing the Uterus

Uterus - Holding Method	Hysterotomy - Suture	Dissatisfied - Uterus
	Pattern	Suturing
	Hysterotomy - Suture	Dissatisfied - Operation
	Material	
	Hysterotomy - Stitching End	Dissatisfied - Cleanliness
	Hysterotomy - Oversewn	
	Abdominal Clots - Removed	
	Lavage - Isotonic Saline	
	Lavage - Antibiotics	
	Lavage - Clean Water	
	Sterile swab	
	Rumen / Intestine -	
	Exteriorize	

Suturing the Abdomen

Number of Suture Layers	Removed Muscle Free Air	Peritoneum Sutured	
		Separately	
	Muscle - Suture Pattern (*)	Peritoneum Material	
	Muscle - Suture Material		
	Muscle - Start of Suturing		
	Skin - Suture Pattern		
	Skin - Suture Material		
	Skin - Start of Suturing		

Immediate Post-operative Treatment and Care of the Dam

Analgesia - Product	Antibiotic – Route	Oxytocin / Carbetocin - Amount
		(ml)
Analgesia - Timing	Antibiotic - Systemic - Product	Oxytocin Carbetocin - Timing
	Antibiotic - Systemic - Timing	Oxytocin / Carbetocin - Route
	Antibiotic - Systemic -	Calcium - Amount (ml)
	Duration	
		Calcium - Route
		Sedative – Amount (ml)
		Sedative - Timing

Sedative - Route

Questionnaire 2 – 14 d post-operatively

Dam Revisit - Date	Dam Vaginal Exam -	Calf Alive 14 d - 1
	Presence	
Dam Alive 14 Days	Retained fetal membranes	Calf Alive 14 d - 2
Analgesia - Presence	Hyperthermia	Calf(ves) Remained with
		Dam
Skin Stitch Removal -	Tachycardia	
Operator		
Skin Wound Condition	Tachypnoea	
Dehiscence - Presence	Inappetence	
	Demeanour	
	Vulvar Discharge	
	Diarrhea	

Mastitis

Recumbency

Questionnaire 3 – 12 mo Post-operatively (done 18 mo post-operatively)

Dam Alive 12 mo

Dam Pregnant

Calf Alive 12 mo - 1

Calf Alive 12 mo - 2

(*) All enrolled cases had their muscle layers stitched with simple continuous suture pattern.

Table S2A: Table outlining baseline characteristics for 30 veterinary practices that activelyparticipated in the bovine caesarean section audit, submitting data over a 2-year study period(Nov 2013 – Oct 2015). Values are n (%), mean (\pm SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) orP-value.

	Mean	SD	min	max	p50	IQR
Total Dams Under Care	17,182.30	12,439.47	2,900	59,000	14,200	17,000
Total Calvings Attended	215.73	165.10	27	759	168.50	173
Calvings of all Dams (%)	1.49	1.01	0.25	5.23	1.16	1.10
Total C-Sections	115.97	107.29	9	464	102	79
C-sections of all Dams (%)	0.75	0.56	0.18	2.51	0.64	0.58
C-sections of all Calvings	51.31	19.20	20.64	92.10	47.82	34.04
(%)						
Total C-sections Submitted	105.17	109.09	2	464	75.50	77
Submitted of Total C-	85.97	23.55	22.22	100	100	28.28
sections (%)						

Table S2B: Table outlining overall baseline characteristics for dams that underwentcaesarean section, collected on the day of enrolment and relating to the veterinarian andveterinary clinic. Values are n (%), mean (± SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) or P-value.Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based oncolumn totals.

	Overall		
	n = 2,904		
Region - Participating Practices - S	ubmitted Cases		
Scotland	6 (20.00)		
Northern Ireland	0 (0.00)		
Wales	3 (10.00)		
North England	4 (13.33)		
Midlands	8 (26.67)		
East & South-East	4 (13.33)		
England			
South-West England	5 (16.67)		
Numbers Missing	0 (0)		
Region - Participating Veterinarians - Submitted Cases			

Northern Ireland	0 (0.00)
Wales	13 (6.02)
North England	43 (19.91)
Midlands	57 (26.39)
East & South-East	23 (10.65)
England	
South-West England	36 (16.67)
Numbers Missing	0 (0)

Top 10 Participating Clinics (Name Replaced by Random Number) - Submitted Cases

19	413 (14.22)
16	282 (9.71)
28	231 (7.95)
11	215 (7.40)
27	141 (4.86)
7	125 (4.30)
1	112 (3.86)
21	102 (3.51)
27	100 (3.44)

90 (3.10)

Numbers Missing	0 (0)
-----------------	-------

Top 10 Participating Veterinarians (Name Replaced by Random Number) -

Submitted Cases

113		62 (2.13)
109		60 (2.07)
7		55 (1.89)
428		53 (1.83)
284		47 (1.62)
468		47 (1.62)
310		39 (1.34)
216		38 (1.31)
432		37 (1.27)
91		36 (1.24)
Num	bers Missing	0 (0)

Table S2C: Table outlining baseline characteristics for dams that underwent caesarean

 section, collected on the day of enrolment and relating to participating veterinarians and their

clinics, showing the data distribution between dairy and beef dams enrolled. Values are n (%), mean (± SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) or P-value. Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based on column totals.

		Overall	Beef	Dairy
		n = 2,904	n = 2,265	n = 639 (22.00)
			(78.00)	
			(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Region -	Enrolled Cases			
	Scotland	1,119 (38.53)	1,064 (46.98)	55 (8.61)
	Northern Ireland	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
	Wales	194 (6.68)	145 (6.40)	49 (7.67)
	North England	627 (21.59)	490 (21.63)	137 (21.44)
	Midlands	657 (22.62)	414 (18.28)	243 (38.03)
	East & South-East	70 (2.41)	54 (2.38)	16 (2.50)
	England			
	South-West England	237 (8.16)	98 (4.33)	139 (21.75)
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Veterinarian's Clinical Experience - Years

Mean (H	± SD)	11.90 (10.24) 12.4	47 (10.53) 9.89 ((8.89)
---------	-------	---------	-------------	-----------	----------	--------

	Range [Min, Max]	[1, 39]	[1, 39]	[1, 37]
	Median (IQR)	8 (14)	8 (18)	6 (8)
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	≤1 y	96 (3.31)	64 (2.83)	32 (5.01)
	1.1 - 3 y	627 (21.59)	501 (22.12)	126 (19.72)
	3.1 – 5 y	378 (13.02)	271 (11.96)	107 (16.74)
	5.1 – 20 y	1,121 (38.60)	835 (36.87)	286 (44.76)
	20.1 > y	682 (23.48)	594 (26.23)	88 (13.77)
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Veterin	arian's Gender			
	Female	1,161 (39.98)	891 (39.34)	270 (42.25)
	Male	1,743 (60.02)	1,374 (60.66)	369 (57.75)
	P-value			0.184
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Month o	of Surgery			
	January	167 (5.75)	107 (4.72)	60 (9.39)

February	207 (7.13)	149 (6.58)	58 (9.08)
March	475 (16.36)	394 (17.40)	81 (12.68)
April	508 (17.49)	460 (20.31)	48 (7.51)
May	385 (13.26)	334 (14.75)	51 (7.98)
June	293 (10.09)	246 (10.86)	47 (7.36)
July	180 (6.20)	130 (5.74)	50 (7.82)
August	130 (4.48)	96 (4.24)	34 (5.32)
September	113 (3.89)	66 (2.91)	47 (7.36)
October	111 (3.82)	80 (3.53)	31 (4.85)
November	189 (6.51)	116 (5.12)	73 (11.42)
December	146 (5.03)	87 (3.84)	59 (9.23)
<i>P-value</i>			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

During Normal Opening Hours - Monday to Friday 08:00 to 18:00

Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
P-value			0.006
No	1,579 (54.37)	1,262 (55.72)	317 (49.61)
Yes	1,325 (45.63)	1,003 (44.28)	322 (50.39)

Surgeon High Workload

No	1,937 (66.70)	1,481 (65.39)	456 (71.36)
Yes	967 (33.30)	784 (34.61)	183 (28.64)
P-value			0.005
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Duration: Skin Incision to Calf Removal (min)

	Up to 15 min	2,416 (83.20)	1,921 (84.81)	495 (77.46)
	16 to 30 min	419 (14.43)	298 (13.16)	121 (18.94)
	31 to 45 min	48 (1.65)	33 (1.46)	15 (2.35)
	46 to 60 min	18 (0.62)	12 (0.53)	6 (0.94)
	Over 60 min	3 (0.10)	1 (0.04)	2 (0.31)
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Duratio	on: Arrival to Departure (m	in)		
	40 to 60 min	402 (13.84)	358 (15.81)	44 (6.89)
	61 to 75 min	524 (18.04)	452 (19.96)	72 (11.27)
	76 to 90 min	870 (29.96)	672 (29.67)	198 (30.99)

91 to 105 min	375 (12.91)	290 (12.80)	85 (13.30)
106 to 120 min	244 (8.40)	176 (7.77)	68 (10.64)
Over 120 min	489 (16.84)	317 (14.00)	172 (26.92)
P-value			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Table S2D: Table outlining baseline characteristics for dame that underwent Caesarean section, collected on the day of enrolment and relating to the dam and clinical findings of the pre-operative examination, showing the data distribution between dairy and beef dams enrolled. Values are n (%), mean (\pm SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) or P-value. Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based on column totals.

	Overall	Beef	Dairy
	n = 2,904	n = 2,265	n = 639 (22.00)
		(78.00)	
Previous C-sections			
No	2,685 (92.46)	2,056 (90.77)	629 (98.44)
Yes	219 (7.54)	209 (9.03)	10 (1.56)
P-value			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Dam Breed

Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
<i>P-value</i>			<0.001
Crossbred	1,1754 (60.40)	1,580 (69.76)	174 (27.23)
Purebred	1,150 (39.60)	685 (30.24)	465 (72.77)

Cause of Dystocia – No Room Around Shoulders or Hips

No Room	2,801 (75.56)	2,065 (72.38)	736 (86.18)
Yes, there was Room	906 (24.44)	788 (27.62)	118 (13.82)
P-value			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Cause of Dystocia – Forelimbs were Crossed

No	2,207 (76.00)	1,653 (72.98)	554 (86.70)
Yes	697 (24.00)	612 (27.02)	85 (13.30)
P-value			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Cause of Dystocia – Maternal Undersize (As Inspected, by Attending Veterinarian)

No	2,142 (73.76)	1,629 (71.92)	513 (80.28)
Yes	762 (26.24)	636 (28.08)	126 (19.72)

	<i>P-value</i>			<0.001	
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Uterine '	Torsion				
	No	2,625 (90.39)	2,149 (94.88)	476 (74.49)	
	Yes	279 (9.61)	116 (5.12)	163 (25.51)	
	P-value			<0.001	
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Uterine '	Torsion Direction				
	No Torsion	2,625 (90.39)	2,149 (94.88)	476 (74.49)	
	Anticlockwise	206 (7.09)	89 (3.93)	117 (18.31)	
	Clockwise	73 (2.52)	27 (1.19)	46 (7.20)	
	P-value			<0.001	
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Uterine Tear					
	No	2,880 (99.17)	2,252 (99.43)	628 (98.28)	
	Yes	24 (0.83)	13 (0.57)	11 (1.72)	
	P-value			0.005	
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	

Completely Dilated	2,327 (80.13)	1,927 (85.08)	400 (62.60)
Palpable Constriction	577 (19.87)	338 (14.92)	239 (37.40)
P-value			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Insufficient Dilatation of the Cervix (Veterinarian's initial Palpation)

Table S2E: Table outlining baseline characteristics for dams that underwent Caesarean section, collected on the day of enrolment and relating to the handling of the dam during surgery, while showing the data distribution between dairy and beef dams enrolled. Values are n (%), mean (\pm SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) or P-value. Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based on column totals.

	Overall	Beef	Dairy
	n = 2,904	n = 2,265	n = 639 (22.00)
		(78.00)	
		(10100)	
Dam Position During Surgery			
Lying Down	308 (10.61)	198 (8.74)	110 (17.22)
Lying Down & Standin	g 370 (12.74)	265 (11.70)	105 (16.43)
Standing	2,226 (76.65)	1,802 (79.56)	424 (66.35)
P-value			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Elective Recumbency

No	2,640 (90.91)	2,079 (91.79)	561 (87.79)
Yes	264 (9.09)	186 (8.21)	78 (12.21)
P-value			0.002
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Antisepsis – Surgical Field – First Product

Chlorhexidine gluconate	1,392 (47.93)	1,069 (47.20)	323 (50.55)
4%			
Povidone iodine 10%	1,459 (50.24)	1,152 (50.86)	307 (48.04)
Other	53 (1.83)	44 (1.94)	9 (1.41)
P-value			0.255
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Antisepsis – Surgical Field – Second Product

Did not use 2 nd product	846 (29.13)	780 (34.44)	66 (10.33)
Methyl salicylate 0.5%	1,943 (66.91)	1,395 (61.59)	548 (85.76)
Other	115 (3.96)	90 (3.97)	25 (3.91)
P-value			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Antisepsis – Surgeon – First Product

	Chlorhexidine gluconate	1,514 (52.13)	1,159 (51.17)	355 (55.56)
	4%			
	Povidone iodine 10%	1,357 (46.73)	1,079 (47.64)	278 (43.51)
	Other	33 (1.14)	27 (1.19)	6 (0.94)
	P-value			0.139
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Antisep	sis – Surgeon – Second Prod	luct		
	Did not use 2 nd product	2,361 (90.60)	2,067 (91.26)	564 (88.26)
	Methyl salicylate 0.5%	198 (6.82)	140 (6.18)	58 (9.08)
	Other	75 (2.58)	58 (2.56)	17 (2.66)
	P-value			0.036
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Anaesth	esia Product			
Р	rocaine & Epinephrine	1,373 (47.28)	1,063 (46.93)	310 (48.51)
0	.02%			
Р	rocaine & Epinephrine	364 (12.53)	202 (8.92)	162 (25.35)
0	.002%			
L	idocaine & Epinephrine	1,167 (40.19)	1,000 (44.15)	167 (26.14)
0	.002%			
	<i>P-value</i>			<0.001

	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Anaesth	esia Type – Regional or Loo	cal		
	Line or Inverted L Block	2,020 (69.56)	1,577 (69.62)	443 (69.33)
	Paravertebral	884 (30.44)	688 (30.38)	196 (30.67)
	P-value			0.885
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Epidura	l Use			
	No	1,858 (63.98)	1,551 (68.48)	307 (48.04)
	Yes	1,046 (36.02)	714 (31.52)	332 (51.96)
	P-value			0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Laparot	оту Туре			
	Flank	2,901 (99.90)	2,263 (99.91)	638 (99.84)
	Left Flank	2,885	2,254	631
	Right Flank	16	9	7
	Paramedian	3 (0.10)	2 (0.09)	1 (0.16)
	P-value			0.152
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Surgical Assistance – Veterinarian

Normal

No Assistance	458 (15.77)	360 (15.89)	98 (15.34)
Producer	1,626 (55.99)	1,328 (58.63)	298 (46.64)
Vet	476 (16.39)	298 (13.16)	178 (27.86)
Student	218 (7.51)	177 (7.81)	41 (6.40)
Other	126 (4.34)	102 (4.51)	24 (3.76)
P-value			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Table S2F: Table outlining baseline characteristics for dams that underwent Caesarean section, collected on the day of enrolment and relating to the handling of the hysterotomic segment of the surgery, while showing the data distribution between dairy and beef dams enrolled. Values are n (%), mean (\pm SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) or P-value. Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based on column totals.

	Overall	Beef	Dairy
	n = 2,904	n = 2,265	n = 639 (22.00)
		(78.00)	
Uterine Texture			

2,625 (90.39) 2,084 (92.01)

541 (84.66)

	Friable	279 (9.61)	181 (7.99)	98 (15.34)	
	P-value			<0.001	
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Uterine C	olor				
	Normal	2,678 (92.22)	2,134 (94.22)	544 (85.14)	
	Pale	119 (4.10)	74 (3.27)	45 (7.04)	
	Congested	107 (3.68)	57 (2.51)	50 (7.82)	
	P-value			<0.001	
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Uterine A	dhesions				
	No	2,825 (97.28)	2,195 (96.91)	630 (98.59)	
	Yes	79 (2.72)	70 (3.09)	9 (1.41)	
	P-value			0.021	
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Uterine Handling – Rotation Difficulty					
	No Rotation	2,102 (72.38)	1,668 (73.64)	434 (67.92)	
	Easy	280 (9.64)	224 (9.89)	56 (8.76)	
	Some Difficulty	383 (13.19)	274 (12.10)	109 (17.06)	

	Very Difficult	139 (4.79)	99 (4.37)	40 (6.26)
	P-value			0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Hysteroto	omy – Suture Pattern			
	Cushing	1,383 (47.62)	1,139 (50.26)	244 (38.24)
	Lembert	554 (19.08)	464 (20.48)	90 (14.11)
	Utrecht	967 (33.30)	663 (29.26)	304 (47.65)
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Hysteroto	omy – Suture Material			
	Chrome Catgut	2,161 (74.42)	1,675 (73.92)	486 (76.18)
	Vicryl	460 (15.84)	362 (15.98)	98 (15.35)
	Polydioxanone (PDS)	143 (4.92)	114 (5.02)	29 (4.55)
	Other	140 (4.82)	115 (5.08)	25 (3.92)
	P-value			0.576
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Hysterotomy Cleaning (Some Dams Received > 1)

No Cleaning	564 (N/A)	436 (N/A)	128 (N/A)
0			

	Normal Saline Lavage	296 (N/A)	219 (N/A)	77 (N/A)
	Antibiotic Lavage	477 (N/A)	405 (N/A)	72 (N/A)
	Potable Water Lavage	592 (N/A)	500 (N/A)	92 (N/A)
	Sterile Swab	1,290 (N/A)	960 (N/A)	330 (N/A)
	P-value			N/A
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Abdomir	al Blood Clots			
	Removed	2,550 (87.81)	1,956 (86.36)	594 (92.96)
	Remained	354 (12.19)	309 (13.64)	45 (7.04)
	P-value			<0.001
	P-value Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	<0.001 0 (0)
Peritone	P-value Numbers Missing um sutured separately	0 (0)	0 (0)	<0.001 0 (0)
Peritone	<i>P-value</i> <i>Numbers Missing</i> um sutured separately No	0 (0) 2,625 (90.39)	0 (0) 2,022 (89.27)	<0.001 0 (0) 603 (94.37)
Peritone	P-value Numbers Missing um sutured separately No Yes	<i>0 (0)</i> 2,625 (90.39) 279 (9.61)	0 (0) 2,022 (89.27) 243 (10.73)	<0.001 0 (0) 603 (94.37) 36 (5.63)
Peritone	P-value Numbers Missing um sutured separately No Yes P-value	0 (0) 2,625 (90.39) 279 (9.61)	0 (0) 2,022 (89.27) 243 (10.73)	<0.001 0 (0) 603 (94.37) 36 (5.63) <0.001
Peritone	P-value Numbers Missing Im sutured separately No Yes P-value Numbers Missing	0 (0) 2,625 (90.39) 279 (9.61) 0 (0)	0 (0) 2,022 (89.27) 243 (10.73) 0 (0)	<0.001 0 (0) 603 (94.37) 36 (5.63) <0.001 0 (0)
Peritonet Skin – St	P-value Numbers Missing Mo Yes P-value Numbers Missing Numbers Missing	0 (0) 2,625 (90.39) 279 (9.61) 0 (0)	0 (0) 2,022 (89.27) 243 (10.73) 0 (0)	<0.001 0 (0) 603 (94.37) 36 (5.63) <0.001 0 (0)

	Interlocking	1,157 (39.84)	855 (37.75)	302 (47.26)
	Interrupted	1,344 (46.28)	1,092 (48.21)	252 (39.44)
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Skin – Su	ture Material			
	Nylon Supramid	2,650 (91.25)	2,113 (93.29)	537 (84.04)
	Other	254 (8.75)	152 (6.71)	102 (15.96)
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Post-op C	leanliness – Dissatisfied			
	No	2,692 (92.70)	2,086 (92.10)	606 (94.84)
	Yes	212 (7.30)	179 (7.90)	33 (5.16)
	P-value			0.019
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Table S2G: Table outlining baseline characteristics for cattle that underwent caesarean section, collected on the day of enrolment and relating to features of the delivered calf, while showing the data distribution between dairy and beef cattle enrolled. Values are n (%), mean (\pm SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) or P-value. Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based on column totals.

		Overall	Beef	Dairy
		n = 2,904	n = 2,265	n = 639 (22.00)
			(78.00)	
Calf Sex -	- 1 st Calf			
	Female	571 (19.66)	425 (18.76)	146 (22.85)
	Male	2,026 (69.77)	1,617 (71.39)	409 (64.00)
	Don't Know	307 (10.57)	223 (9.85)	84 (13.15)
	P-value			0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Calf Sex	- 2 nd Calf			
	Female	13 (0.45)	10 (0.44)	3 (0.47)
	Male	17 (0.59)	8 (0.35)	9 (1.41)
	Don't Know	9 (0.31)	8 (0.35)	1 (0.16)
	Not Applicable	2,865 (98.66)	2,239 (98.85)	626 (97.97)
	(Singleton)			
	P-value			0.017
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Calf Sta	te – 1 st Calf			
	Normal	2,799 (96.38)	2,214 (97.75)	585 (91.55)

	Emphysematous	53 (1.83)	28 (1.23)	25 (3.91)
	Putrefied (Hair / Skin /	52 (1.79)	23 (1.02)	29 (4.54)
	Hooves sloughing)			
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Calf Bre	eed – 1 st Calf			
	Purebred	890 (30.65)	670 (29.58)	220 (34.43)
	Crossbred	2,014 (69.35)	1,595 (70.42)	419 (65.57)
	P-value			0.019
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Calf Pre	esentation			
	Anterior	2,286 (78.72)	1,798 (79.38)	488 (76.37)
	Posterior	407 (14.01)	324 (14.30)	83 (12.99)
	Transverse	211 (7.27)	143 (6.32)	68 (10.64)
	P-value			0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Calf Pre	esentation - Simultaneous			
	No	26 (0.90)	17 (0.75)	9 (1.41)
	Yes	13 (0.45)	9 (0.40)	4 (0.63)

	Singleton – N/A	2,865 (98.65)	2,239 (98.85)	626 (97.97)
	P-value			0.220
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Calf Pos	sition – 1 st Calf			
	Dorsal	2,454 (84.50)	1,1953 (86.23)	501 (78.40)
	Ventral	239 (8.23)	169 (7.46)	70 (10.95)
	Transverse	211 (7.27)	143 (6.31)	68 (10.64)
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Calf Posture – 1st Calf (Some Calves had > 1 Malposture)

Head - Normal	1,992 (N/A)	1,647 (N/A)	345 (N/A)
Head - Downward Deviation	58 (N/A)	23 (N/A)	35 (N/A)
Head - Lateral Deviation	236 (N/A)	128 (N/A)	108 (N/A)
Fore Limb - Left - Normal	2,154 (N/A)	1,712 (N/A)	442 (N/A)
Ext Elbow & Flx Carpus - Left	42 (N/A)	29 (N/A)	13 (N/A)
Flx Elbow & Ext Carpus - Left	63 (N/A)	45 (N/A)	18 (N/A)
Fore Limb Backward - Left	27 (N/A)	12 (N/A)	15 (N/A)
Fore Limb - Right - Normal	2,150 (N/A)	1,711 (N/A)	439 (N/A)

Ext Elbow & Flx Carpus -	46 (N/A)	28 (N/A)	18 (N/A)
Right			
Flx Elbow & Ext Carpus -	67 (N/A)	49 (N/A)	18 (N/A)
Right			
Fore Limb Backward - Right	23 (N/A)	10 (N/A)	13 (N/A)
Hind Limb - Left - Normal	352 (N/A)	291 (N/A)	61 (N/A)
Flx Hip & Flx Tarsus - Left	14 (N/A)	5 (N/A)	9 (N/A)
Flx Hip & Ext Tarsus - Left	41 (N/A)	28 (N/A)	13 (N/A)
Hind Limb - Right - Normal	350 (N/A)	289 (N/A)	61 (N/A)
Flx Hip & Flx Tarsus - Right	19 (N/A)	8 (N/A)	11 (N/A)
Flx Hip & Ext Tarsus - Right	38 (N/A)	27 (N/A)	11 (N/A)
P-value			N/A
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Table S2H: Table outlining baseline characteristics for cattle that underwent Caesarean section, collected on the day of enrolment and associated with medications administered prior or following the operation, while showing the data distribution between dairy and beef dams enrolled. Values are n (%), mean (\pm SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) or P-value. Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based on column totals.

			(78.00)		
Xylazine 2% – Amount used in mg (783 treated cattle)					
Ν	Mean (± SD)	31.60 (30.20)	32.60 (31.60)	27 (23)	
F	Range [Min, Max]	[4, 180]	[4, 180]	[6, 110]	
Ν	Median (IQR)	20 (28)	20 (28)	20 (22)	
Xylazine 2%	o – Dosage Categories				
N	lone Given	2,121 (73.04)	1,630 (71.96)	491 (76.84)	
1-	-20 mg	475 (16.36)	382 (16.87)	93 (14.55)	
2	1-40 mg	162 (5.58)	128 (5.65)	34 (5.32)	
0	Over 40 mg	146 (5.02)	125 (5.52)	21 (3.29)	
Р	2-value			0.040	
N	umbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	

n = 2,904

n = 2,265 n = 639 (22.00)

$Clenbuterol \ Hydrochloride-30 \ \mu g/mL$

None Given	732 (25.21)	566 (24.99)	166 (25.98)
Administered	2,172 (74.79)	1,699 (75.01)	473 (74.02)
P-value			0.611
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Analgesia Product

	Producer Administered	5 (1.93)	46 (2.03)	10 (1.56)
	Meloxicam	2,183 (75.17)	1,706 (75.32)	477 (74.65)
	Flunixin	555 (19.11)	443 (19.56)	112 (17.53)
	Ketoprofen	92 (3.17)	59 (2.60)	33 (5.16)
	Other	18 (0.62)	11 (0.49)	7 (1.10)
	P-value			0.005
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Oxytocin	/ Carbetocin			
	None Given	1,680 (57.85)	1,399 (61.77)	281 (43.97)
	Carbetocin	85 (2.93)	65 (2.87)	20 (3.13)
	Oxytocin	1,139 (39.22)	801 (35.36)	338 (52.90)
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Calcium	Borogluconate 40%			
	No	2,770 (95.39)	2,230 (98.45)	540 (84.51)
	Yes	134 (4.61)	35 (1.55)	99 (15.49)
	P-value			<0.001

Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Antibiotics Systemic - Products			
Procaine Penicillin &	1,625 (55.96)	1,347 (59.44)	278 (43.57)
Dihydrostreptomycin Sulphate			
Tylosin	520 (17.91)	453 (19.99)	67 (10.50)
Amoxicillin Trihydrate &	497 (17.11)	314 (13.86)	183 (28.68)
Clavulanic Acid			
Amoxicillin Trihydrate	167 (5.75)	91 (4.02)	76 (11.92)
Other	95 (3.27)	61 (2.69)	34 (5.33)
P-value			<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Antibiotics – Location (> 1 Locations for Some Dams)

Intra-uterine	56 (N/A)	39 (N/A)	17 (N/A)
Hysterotomic Incision	477 (N/A)	405 (N/A)	72 (N/A)
Intra-peritoneally	1,270 (N/A)	1,052 (N/A)	218 (N/A)
Muscle Layers	575 (N/A)	484 (N/A)	91 (N/A)
Skin Incision (Spray)	2,149 (N/A)	1,601 (N/A)	548 (N/A)
Systemic	2,904 (N/A)	2,265 (N/A)	639 (N/A)
P-value			N/A

Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
-----------------	-------	-------	-------

Table S2I: Table outlining baseline characteristics for dams that underwent Caesarean section, collected on the day of enrolment and demonstrating correlations between selected explanatory variables, based on chi-square analysis and would be of interest in literature review. Values are n (%), mean (± SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) or P-value. Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based on column totals.

	Duration: Skin Incision to Calf Removal (min)				
Experience (y)	Up to 15	16 to 30	31 to 45	46 to 60	Over 60
< 1	58 (2.40)	35 (8.35)	1 (2.08)	2	0 (0.00)
1.1 to 3	513 (21.23)	96 (22.91)	13 (27.08)	(11.11) 4	1 (33.33)
3.1 to 5	306 (12.67)	54 (12.89)	11 (22.92)	(22.22) 5	2 (66.67)
5.1 to 20	948 (39.24)	152 (36.28)	14 (29.17)	(27.78) 7	0 (0.00)
> 20	591 (24.46)	82 (19.57)	9 (18.75)	(39.89) 0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
P-value					<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Calf State – 1st Calf

Hysterotomy –	Normal	Emphysemato	Putrefied (Hair / Skin / Hooves
Oversewn		us	peeling off)
Not Oversewn	2,677 (95.64)	53 (100.00)	48 (92.31)
Oversewn	122 (4.36)	0 (0.00)	4 (7.69)
P-value			0.956
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

	Xylazine 2%			
Dam Position	No	Yes		
Lying Down	162 (7.64)	146 (18.65)		
Lying Down &	231 (10.89)	139 (17.75)		
Standing				
Standing	1,728	498 (63.60)		
	(81.47)			
P-value		<0.001		
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)		

Xylazine 2% – Dosage Categories

Dam Position	None Given	1-20 mg	21-40 mg	>40 mg
Lying Down	162 (7.64)	44 (9.26)	39 (24.07)	63 (43.15)
Lying Down &	213 (10.89)	69 (14.53)	36 (22.21)	34 (23.29)
Standing				
Standing	1,728	362 (76.21)	87 (53.70)	49 (33.56)
	(81.47)			
P-value				<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

	Paravertebral		
Dam Position	No	Yes	
Lying Down	244 (12.08)	64 (7.24)	
Lying Down &	263 (13.02)	107 (12.10)	
Standing			
Standing	1,513	713 (80.66)	
	(74.90)		
P-value		<0.001	
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	

Surgical Field Drape

Dam Position	No	Yes
Lying Down	254 (9.43)	54 (25.71)
Lying Down &	333 (12.36)	37 (17.62)
Standing		
Standing	2,107	119 (56.67)
	(78.21)	
P-value		<0.001
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)

Uterus Exteriorize

Dam Position	Exteriorized	Not	
		Exteriorized	
Lying Down	222 (9.59)	87 (14.80)	
Lying Down &	298 (12.87)	71 (12.07)	
Standing			
Standing	1,796	430 (73.13)	
	(77.54)		
P-value		0.002	
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	

Calf State – 1st Calf

Uterus Exteriorize	Normal	Emphysemato	Putrefied (Hair / Skin / Hooves
		us	peeling off)
Exteriorized	2,233 (79.78)	46 (86.79)	37
			(71.15)
Not Exteriorized	566 (20.22)	7 (13.21)	15
			(28.85)
P-value			0.134
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Table S3: Table outlining univariable associations of all the strong and some weak predictors of the model and main outcome of events over a 2-year study period (Nov 2013 – Oct 2015), with primary outcome being dam deaths at 14 days post-operation. Values are n (%), mean (\pm SD), range (min, max), median (IQR) or P-value. Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based on column totals.

 Overall	Dead at 14 d	Alive at 14 d
n = 2,901	n = 214 (7.38)	n = 2,687
		(92.62)

	5 to 15 min	2,413 (83.18)	169 (78.97)	2,244 (83.51)		
	16 to 30 min	419 (14.44)	29 (13.56)	390 (14.51)		
	31 to 45 min	48 (1.66)	10 (4.67)	38 (1.42)		
	46 to 60 min	18 (0.62)	4 (1.87)	14 (0.52)		
	Over 60 min	3 (0.10)	2 (0.93)	1 (0.04)		
	P-value			<0.001		
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Variable Index – Uterus						
	0	1,543 (53.19)	97 (45.33)	1,446 (53.81)		
	1	929 (32.02)	53 (24.77)	876 (32.60)		
	2	231 (7.96)	25 (11.68)	206 (7.67)		
	3	88 (3.03)	12 (5.61)	76 (2.83)		
	4	69 (2.38)	13 (6.07)	56 (2.08)		
	5	41 (1.41)	14 (6.54)	27 (1.01)		
	P-value			<0.001		
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		

Paravertebral

No	2,017 (69.53)	168 (78.50)	1,849 (68.81)
----	---------------	-------------	---------------

Yes	884 (30.47)	46 (21.50)	838 (31.19)
P-value			0.003
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Variable Index – Surgeon Dissatisfaction

	0	2,462 (84.87)	149 (69.63)	2,313 (86.08)	
	1	255 (8.79)	34 (15.89)	221 (8.23)	
	2	184 (6.34)	31 (14.50)	153 (5.69)	
	P-value			<0.001	
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Uterus Exteriorize					
	Exteriorized	2,315 (79.83)	149 (69.63)	2,166 (80.64)	
	Not Exteriorized	585 (20.17)	65 (30.37)	520 (19.36)	
	P-value			<0.001	
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Lactatio	on number – Categories				

1 st	1,579 (54.43)	91 (42.52)	1,488 (55.38)
2 nd	477 (16.44)	52 (24.30)	425 (15.82)
≥3rd	845 (29.13)	71 (33.18)	774 (28.80)

	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Dam Pos	sition			
	Lying Down	308 (10.62)	43 (20.09)	265 (9.86)
	Lying Down & Standing	370 (12.75)	31 (14.49)	339 (12.62)
	Standing	2,223 (76.63)	140 (65.42)	2,083 (77.52)
	P-value			0.019
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Hysterot	tomy – Oversewn			
	Not Oversewn	2,776 (95.69)	212 (99.07)	2,564 (95.42)
	Oversewn	125 (4.31)	2 (0.93)	123 (4.58)
	P-value			0.012
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Number	of Calves			
	Singleton	2,862 (98.66)	207 (96.73)	2,655 (98.81)
	Twins	39 (1.34)	7 (3.27)	32 (1.19)
	P-value			0.011

Elect Lying Down

	No	2,637 (90.90)	184 (85.98)	2,453 (91.29)
	Yes	264 (9.10)	30 (14.02)	234 (8.71)
	P-value			0.009
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Alive at	Delivery – 1 st Calf			
	No	594 (20.48)	78 (36.45)	516 (19.20)
	Yes	2,307 (79.52)	136 (63.55)	2,171 (80.80)
	P-value			<0.001
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Calf Star	te – 1 st Calf			
	Normal	2,796 (96.38)	191 (89.25)	2,605 (96.95)
	Emphysematous	53 (1.83)	8 (3.74)	45 (1.67)
	Putrefied (Hair / Skin /	52 (1.79)	15 (7.01)	37 (1.38)
	Hooves peeling off)			
	P-value			0.011
	Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Clenbut	erol Hydrochloride – 30 μg/	/mL		
	None Given	730 (25.16)	59 (27.57)	671 (24.97)
	Administered	2,171 (74.84)	155 (72.43)	2,016 (75.03)

P-value			0.399
Numbers Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Table S4: Table outlining main outcome of events over a 2-year study period (Nov 2013 – Oct 2015), with primary outcome being dam deaths at 14 d post-operation. Values are n (%), odds ratio or linear coefficient where indicated, 95% confidence interval, P-value and its interpretation. Numbers in brackets are percentages, unless specified otherwise. Percentages are based on column totals.

The analysis is based on single-level (unadjusted) and multi-level (adjusted) mixed effects regression with vet nested within practice as random effect. A reference group has been nominated in order to identify differences between cohorts.

Any differences in the total number of animals in each group that entered the singlelevel analysis and the total number in each equivalent group that enrolled into the multi-level one, are because of rejection of records due to collinearity.

Strong Predictors - Categorical Variables

Frequency	Odds Ratio	P-	Interpretation
N=(%)	(95% Conf	value	
	Interval)		

Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
Skin to Calf Duration	169 (7.00)	Reference		
up to 15 min Dead				
Skin to Calf Duration	10 (20.83)	4.92 (2.16-11.22)	< 0.001	Overwhelming
31 to 45min Dead				evidence
Adjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
Skin to Calf Duration	169 (7.01)	Reference		
up to 15min Dead				
Skin to Calf Duration	10 (20 82)	2 97 (1 20 6 99)	0.019	Somo avidanco
31 to 45min Dead	10 (20.85)	2.87 (1.20-0.88)	0.018	Some evidence
	Total number of	f 2,901 dams were en	tered in th	e unadjusted
	analysis, wherea	as a total number of 2	2,900 anim	hals were entered
	in the adjusted of	one.		
Unadjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			

No Paravertebral Dead 168 (8.33) Reference

Paravertebral Dead	46 (5.20)	0.60 (0.40-0.91)	0.017	Some evidence
Adjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
No Paravertebral Dead	168 (8.33)	Reference		
Paravertebral Dead	46 (5.21)	0.63 (0.41-0.97)	0.038	Some evidence
	Total number of and 884 with par number of 2,017 883 with paraver	2,017 dams with non avertebral in the una- dams with non-parav tebral in the adjusted	-paraverte djusted and vertebral a analysis.	bral anaesthetic alysis. Total naesthetic and

Unadjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
Exteriorized Dead	149 (6.43)	Reference		
Not Exteriorized Dead	65 (11.11)	1.96 (1.30-2.96)	0.001	Strong evidence
Adjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			

Exteriorized Dead	149 (6.44)	Reference		
Not Exteriorized Dead	65 (11.11)	1.89 (1.30-2.91)	0.004	Strong evidence

Total number of 2,316 dams that the uterus was exteriorized during hysterotomy and 585 that was not exteriorized in the unadjusted analysis. Total number of 2,315 dams that the uterus was exteriorized during hysterotomy and 585 that was not exteriorized in the adjusted analysis.

Unadjusted					
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)				
Dairy Dams Dead	68 (10.64)	Reference			
Beef Dams Dead	146 (6.45)	0.47 (0.33 - 0.67)	<0.001	Overwhelming	
				evidence	
Adjusted					
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)				
Dairy Dams Dead	68 (10.66)	Reference			
Beef Dams Dead	146 (6.45)	0.56 (0.38 - 0.82)	0.003	Strong evidence	
	Total number of 639 dairy and 2,262 beef dams in the				
	unadjusted analysis. Total number of 638 dairy and 2,262 beef				
	dams in the adjusted analysis.				

Unadjusted

Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
Primiparous Dead	91 (5.76)	Reference		
Second Parity Dead	52 (10.90)	1.92 (1.31-2.81)	0.001	Strong evidence
Adjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
Primiparous Dead	91 (5.77)	Reference		
Second Parity Dead	52 (10.90)	2.01 (1.34-3.02)	0.001	Strong evidence
	Total number of 2,901 dams were entered in the unadjusted analysis, whereas a total number of 2,900 dams were entered in the adjusted one.			
Unadjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
Lying Down Dead	43 (13.96)	Reference		

Standing Dead	140 (6.30)	0.37 (0.25-0.56)	< 0.001	Overwhelming	
				evidence	
Adjusted					
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)				
Lying Down Dead	43 (13.96)	Reference			
Standing Dead	140 (6.30)	0.52 (0.33-0.81)	0.004	Strong evidence	
	Total number of	f 2,776 dams that the	hysterotor	ny was not	
	oversewn and 125 that was oversewn in the unadjusted ana Total number of 2,775 dams that the hysterotomy was not				
oversewn and 125 that was oversewn in the ac				usted analysis.	

Unadjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
Not Oversewn Dead	212 (7.64)	Reference		
Oversewn Dead	2 (1.60)	0.20 (0.05-0.90)	0.035	Some evidence
Adjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			

Not Oversewn Dead	212 (7.64)	Reference			
Oversewn Dead	2 (1.60)	0.16 (0.04-0.75)	0.020	Some evidence	
	Total number of 2,776 dams that the hysterotomy was oversewn and 125 that was oversewn in the unadjuste				
	Total number of 2,775 dams that the hysterotomy was not				
	oversewn and 125 that was oversewn in the adjusted analysis.				

Unadjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
Singleton Dams Dead	207 (7.23)	Reference		
Twin Dams Dead	7 (17.95)	3.40 (1.38-8.34)	0.008	Some evidence
Adjusted				
Overall Dead	214 (7.38)			
Singleton Dams Dead	207 (7.24)	Reference		
	7(17.05)	272(101722)	0.047	Somo avidance

Total number of 2,862 dams that bore a singleton calf and 39 that bore twins in the unadjusted analysis. Total number of 2,861 dams that bore a singleton calf and 39 that bore twins in the adjusted analysis.

Strong Predictors - Continuous Variables

	Coefficient of	95% Conf	P-	Interpretation
	Linear	Interval	value	
	Regression			
Unadjusted				
Variable Index – Uterus	1.52	1.35-1.71	<0.001	Overwhelming evidence
Adjusted				
Variable Index – Uterus	1.35	1.18-1.54	<0.001	Overwhelming evidence
Unadjusted				
Variable Index –	2.04	1.65-2.52	< 0.001	Overwhelming
Surgeon Dissatisfaction				evidence
Adjusted				

Variable Index –1.411.08-1.830.010Some evidenceSurgeon Dissatisfaction

Strong outcome predictors were Skin incision to calf removal - Duration, Variable Index – Uterus, Paravertebral, Variable Index – Surgeon Dissatisfaction, Uterus Exteriorize, Productive Type, Lactation number – Categories, Dam Position, Hysterotomy – Oversewn, Number of Calves.

Table S5: Table summarizing additional data mining, by investigating possible associations between some of the strong variables of this model. The events took place over a 2-year study period (Nov 2013 – Oct 2015), The analysis is based on multi-level mixed effects regression with vet nested within practice as random effect.

Productive Type

There was strong evidence (P = 0.006) that fewer dairy dams were primiparous (328 or 51.3% of all dairy dams) and more were Lactation 3 or more (218 or 34.1% of all dairy dams), than their beef primiparous dams (1,253 or 55.3% of all beef dams) and their Lactation 3 or more equivalents (628 or 27.7% of all beef dams).

There was overwhelming evidence (P <0.001) that fewer dairy dams had an up to 15 min duration for the laparotomy to calf removal surgical segment (495 or 77.5% of all dairy dams) and more had between 31 and 45 min duration segment (15 or 2.35% of all dairy dams), than their beef dam equivalent segments (1,921 or 84.8% of all beef dams and 33 or 1.46% of all beef dams), respectively.

There was overwhelming evidence (P <0.001) that fewer dairy dams were standing during surgery (424 or 66.35% of all dairy dams) than there were beef dams standing during surgery (1,802 or 79.6% of all beef dams).

There was strong evidence (P = 0.001) that fewer dairy dams were anesthetised with paravertebral anaesthesia (13 or 2.0% of all dairy dams), than their beef dam equivalents (113 or 5.0% of all beef dams).

There was strong evidence (P = 0.003) that fewer dairy dams had their uterus exteriorized for the hysterotomy (482 or 75.6% of all dairy dams) than their beef equivalents (1,834 or 81.0% of all beef dams).

Lactation Number – Categories

There was overwhelming evidence (P <0.001) that the incidence of twin pregnancies in enrolled dams increased with lactation number. For example, 7 or 0.4% of all primiparous dams had twins, 5 or 1.0% of all dams in second parity had twins, and 27 or 3.2% of all dams in third or higher parity had twins.

There was overwhelming evidence (P < 0.001) that most dams were standing during surgery, irrespective of lactation number. In specific, 1,160 or 73.4% of all primiparous dams, 397 or 83.2% of all second parity, and 669 or 79.1% of all dams in third or higher parity were standing during surgery.

There was overwhelming evidence (P <0.001) that the overall condition of the uterus during surgery decreased with the dam's lactation number. For instance, 900 or 56.9% of all primiparous dams, 256 or 53.7% of all second parity and 390 or 46.1% of all dams in third or higher parity were in grade 0 (normal uterus), whereas 681 or 43.1% of all primiparous dams, 221 or 46.3% of all second parity and 456 or 53.9% of all dams in third or higher parity were in grades 1 - 5 (low to highest level of uterine damage).

Number of Calves

There was overwhelming evidence (P <0.001) that the duration of the surgery increased as the incidence of twin pregnancy increased. For example, 2,387 or 83.3% of all singleton CS took 15 min or less from laparotomic incision to calf removal, whereas only 29 or 74.4% of all twin pregnancies took 15 min or less for the same segment.

There was strong evidence (P = 0.001) that fewer singleton dams had their hysterotomies oversewn (120 or 4.2% of all singleton dam), than their twin-dam equivalents (6 or 15.4% of all twin dams).

Skin incision to calf removal - Duration

There was overwhelming evidence (P <0.001) that the duration of the surgery decreased if the dam was standing. In specific, 1,918 or 79.4% of all dams that took 15 min or less for the segment between laparotomic incision and calf removal were standing, whereas that was reduced to 10 or 55.6% of all dams in the 46-60 min segment that were standing.

There was overwhelming evidence (P < 0.001) of an inverse relationship between the duration of the surgery and the overall condition of the uterus. In effect, 33 or 1.4% of all dams that took 15 min or less for the segment between laparotomic incision and the calf removal were in grade 5 (highest level of uterine damage), whereas that was increased to 3 or 16.7% of all dams in the 46-60 min segment were in grade 5 (highest level of uterine damage).

There was overwhelming evidence (P < 0.001) of a direct relationship between the duration of the surgery and the surgeon's dissatisfaction index. There was increasing surgeon's dissatisfaction with longer procedures. For instance, 118 or 4.90% of all surgeries that took 15 min or less for the segment between laparotomic incision and calf removal were in grade 2 (highest level of dissatisfaction), whereas that was increased to 9

or 50% of all surgeries in the 46-60 min segment that were grade 2 (highest level of dissatisfaction).

Dam Position

There was overwhelming evidence (P <0.001) the overall condition of the uterus during the operation improved if the dam stood during surgery. For instance, 1,192 or 53.6% of all dams that were standing and 149 or 48.4% of all dams that were lying down were assessed to be in grade 0 (normal uterus), whereas 1,034 or 46.4% of all dams that were standing and 159 or 51.6% of all dams that were lying down were assessed to be in grade 0 (normal uterus), whereas 1,034 or 46.4% of all dams that grades 1 – 5 (low to highest level of uterine damage).

There was overwhelming evidence (P<0.001) that fewer dams that were recumbent during the operation had received paravertebral anaesthesia (64 or 20.8% of all recumbent dams), than their standing counterparts that received paravertebral anaesthesia (713 or 32.0% of all standing dams).

There was overwhelming evidence (P<0.001) the overall surgeon's dissatisfaction index improved if the dam stood during surgery. In specific, 2,000 or 89.8% of all dams that were standing and 217 or 70.4% of all dams that were lying down were in grade 0 (lowest level of dissatisfaction), whereas 86 or 3.9% of all dams that were standing and 41 or 13.3% of all dams that were lying down were in grade 2 (highest level of dissatisfaction).

Paravertebral

There was overwhelming evidence (P<0.001) that most of the dams that received paravertebral anaesthesia were not oversewn (867 or 31.21% of all non-oversewn dams), than their oversewn equivalents that received paravertebral anaesthesia (17 or 13.49% of all oversewn dams).

There was overwhelming evidence (P < 0.001) that a larger percentage of dams that had paravertebral anaesthesia had uterine variable index 4 (33 or 47.8% of all score 4 dams), whereas a smaller percentage of dams that had paravertebral anaesthesia had uterine variable index 0 (370 or 23.9% of all score 0 dams).

Variable Index – Uterus

There was overwhelming evidence (P < 0.001) that the surgeon became more dissatisfied as the condition of the uterus deteriorated. In effect, 48 or 3.1% of all operations assessed to be at uterine index grade 0 (lowest level of uterine damage) and dissatisfaction grade 2 (highest level of dissatisfaction), whereas 18 or 43.9% of all operations assessed to be at uterine index grade 5 (highest level of uterine damage) and dissatisfaction grade 2 (highest level of dissatisfaction).